

PAPER –1: FINANCIAL REPORTING

QUESTIONS

Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting

1. Defense Innovators Limited is a public sector undertaking and is engaged in the construction of warships and submarines. XYZ Private Limited approached Defense Innovators Limited for construction of "specially designed" ships for it, which will be used by XYZ Private Limited for transportation of specific goods. The offer was accepted by the Defense Innovators Limited and both the companies entered into an agreement for the construction and delivery of 3 specially designed ships on 'Fixed Price' basis with variable component in respect to certain items.

Base and depot (B & D) spares for all three ships shall be procured by Defense Innovators Limited and will be paid on the cost of the item with certain percentage.

The contract states that "certain equipment" out of variable cost items, will be supplied by XYZ Private Limited at 'free of cost' for installation on board of ship. It is, therefore, to be noted as under:

- (i) Some equipment are procured by Defense Innovators Limited in the presence of the XYZ Private Limited's representative for technical scrutiny as well as negotiating the prices. The vendors of these equipment are paid by Defense Innovators Limited. The cost of the equipment along with the cost of installation and profit thereon is claimed and reimbursed by XYZ Private Limited to Defense Innovators Limited.
- (ii) There are certain other equipment for which orders are directly placed and also paid by the XYZ Private Limited. These equipment are known as 'Buyer Furnished Equipment (BFE)' and are delivered to the company 'free of cost' for installing in the ship. The labour cost of Installation of these are already included in the price component of the contract. BFEs are returned to the buyer after completion of the ship.

The period required for construction of one ship was approximately four years.

Whether the cost of Buyer Furnished Equipment's (BFE's) supplied by XYZ Private Limited to Defense Innovators Limited for-installing the same in the ships can be considered as 'inventory' by Defense Innovators Limited and then on delivery of ship will be recognised as revenue in its books of account? Elaborate.

Ind AS 1

2. An entity manufactures passenger vehicles. The time between purchasing of underlying raw materials to manufacture the passenger vehicles and the date the entity completes the production and delivers to its customers is 11 months. Customers settle the dues after a period of 8 months from the date of sale.
- (a) Will the inventory and the trade receivables be current in nature?
- (b) Assuming that the production time was say 15 months and the time lag between the date of sale and collection from customers is 13 months, will the answer be different?

Applicability of Ind AS

3. Fresh Vegetables Limited (FVL) was incorporated on 2nd April, 20X1 under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 to carry on the wholesale trading business in vegetables. As per the audited accounts of the financial year ended 31st March, 20X7 approved in its annual general meeting held on 31st August, 20X7 its net worth, for the first time since incorporation, exceeded ₹ 250 crore. The financial statements since inception till financial year ended 31st March, 20X6 were prepared in accordance with the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules 2006. It has been advised that henceforth it should prepare its financial statements in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015.

The following additional information is provided by the Company:

- FVL has in the financial year 20X2-20X3 entered into a 60:40 partnership with Logistics Limited and incorporated a partnership firm 'Vegetable Logistics Associates' (VLA) to carry on the logistics business of vegetables from farm to market.
- FVL also has an associate company Social Welfare Limited (SWL) that was incorporated in July, 20X5 as a charitable organization and registered under section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. Social Welfare Limited has been the associate company of FVL since its incorporation.

Examine the applicability of Ind AS on VLA & SWL.

Ind AS 115

4. On 1st April, 20X1, S Limited enters into a contract with Corp Limited to construct heavy-duty equipment for a promised consideration of ₹ 20,00,000 with a bonus of ₹ 2,50,000 if the equipment is completed within 24 months. At the inception of the contract, S Limited correctly accounts for the promised bundle of goods and services as a single performance obligation in accordance with Ind AS 115. At the inception of the contract, the Company expects the costs to be ₹ 11,00,000 and concludes that it is highly probable

that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will occur. Completion of the heavy-duty equipment is highly susceptible to factors outside of the Company's influence, mainly due to difficulties with the supply of components.

At 31st March, 20X2, S Limited has satisfied 65% of its performance obligation on the basis of costs incurred to date and concludes that the variable consideration is still constrained in accordance with Ind AS 115. However, on 4 June 20X2, the contract is modified with the result that the fixed consideration and expected costs increase by ₹ 1,50,000 and ₹ 80,000 respectively. The time allowable for achieving the bonus is extended by six months with the result that S Limited concludes that it is highly probable that the bonus will be achieved and that the contract remains a single performance obligation.

S Limited wants your opinion on the accounting treatment of contract with Corp Limited in light of Ind AS 115, for the year 20X1-20X2 and 20X2-20X3.

Ind AS 37

5. XYZ Ltd. offers a six-month warranty on its small to medium sized equipment, which can be put to use by the customer with no installation support. The warranty comes with the equipment and the customer cannot purchase it separately. This equipment is typically sold at a gross margin of 40%. XYZ Ltd. has made a provision of ₹ 30,000 during the year ended 31st March, 20X2, which is approximately 1% of its gross margin on the sale of these equipment. Based on past experience, it is expected that 1% of equipment sold have been returned as faulty within the warranty period. Faulty equipment returned to XYZ Ltd. during the warranty period are scrapped and the sale value is fully refunded to the customer.

Assuming that sales occurred evenly during the year, how should XYZ Ltd. evaluate whether any additional warranty provision is required on equipment sold in the past as at 31st March, 20X2? Had the warranty period been 2 years instead of six months, what additional criteria would XYZ Ltd. need to consider?

Ind AS 32

6. On 1st April, 2X01, Entity X issued a 10% convertible debenture with a face value of ₹ 1,000 maturing on 31st March, 2X11. The debenture is convertible into ordinary shares of Entity X at a conversion price of ₹ 50 per share. Interest is payable yearly in cash. On 1st April, 2X02, to induce the holder to convert the convertible debenture promptly, Entity X reduces the conversion price to ₹ 40 if the debenture is converted before 1st June, 2X02 (ie, within 60 days). The market price of Entity X's ordinary shares on the date the terms are amended is ₹ 80 per share. How will the revised terms be accounted?

Ind AS 23

7. X Ltd. commenced the construction of a plant (qualifying asset) on 1st September, 20X1, estimated to cost ₹ 10 crores. For this purpose, X has not raised any specific borrowings, rather it intends to use general borrowings, which have a weighted average cost of 11%. Total borrowing costs incurred during the period, viz., 1st September, 20X1 to 31st March, 20X2 were ₹ 0.5 crore.

The other relevant details are as follows:

(₹ in crore)

Month	Cost of construction Accrued	Cash outflows (paid in advance at the start of each month)
September	1.50	3.00
October	0.50	1.70
November	1.50	2.50
December	0.50	-
January	1.80	1.00
February	0.70	-
March	3.00	1.50

Based on the above information, discuss the treatment of borrowing cost as per cash outflow basis and accrual basis and also suggest the appropriate amount of interest that should be capitalised to the cost of the plant in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2?

Ind AS 116**8. Case I**

Scenario 1: The 'last mile' is a dedicated cable that connects Entity Y's network with the end customer's device. The use of this cable is at the discretion of the customer. Entity Y decides the location of end points and has right to replace the lines (dedicated cable), however it is not practical to replace the lines, since replacement would require additional costs to be incurred without any corresponding benefit. Whether the arrangement would be within the scope of Ind AS 116?

Scenario 2: If it is practical for the Entity Y to replace the lines and Entity Y would benefit from this replacement, would the answer be different?

Case II

Customer X enters into a 10-year contract with a utility company, Entity Y, for the right to use three specified, physically distinct fibers within a larger cable connecting Mumbai to Delhi. Customer makes the decisions about the use of the fibers by connecting each

end of the fibers to its electronic equipment. Entity Y owns extra fibers but can substitute those for Customer's fibers only for reasons of repairs, maintenance or malfunction. The useful life of the fiber is 15 years. Whether this arrangement is covered under Ind AS 116?

Case III

Customer X enters into a 10-year contract with Entity Y for the right to use a specified amount of capacity within a cable connecting Mumbai to Delhi. The specified amount is equivalent to Customer X having the use of the full capacity of three fiber strands within the cable (the cable contains multiple fibers with similar capacities). Entity Y makes decisions about the transmission of data (i.e., Entity Y lights the fibers, makes decisions about which fibers are used to transmit Customer's traffic). The useful life of the fiber is 15 years. Whether this arrangement is covered under Ind AS 116?

Ind AS 103

9. Entity A acquires entity B. Entity A agrees with the former shareholders of entity B to pay ₹ 900, with an additional payment of ₹ 500 if the subsequent earnings of entity B reach a specified target in three years. The former shareholders also become employees. On the acquisition date, the fair value of the net assets of entity B amount to ₹ 850, and the fair value of additional payment is estimated at ₹ 200. At the acquisition date, the outflow of additional payment is not probable.

Over the next three years, the cumulative earnings of entity B (before considering the effects of the additional payments) amount to ₹ 1,050. At the end of year three, entity A pays ₹ 500 as the conditions were met.

State the impact on the financial position and results of classifying the payments as remuneration and contingent consideration.

Ind AS 105

10. X Ltd. acquires B Ltd. exclusively with a view to sale and it meets the criteria to be classified as discontinued operation as per Ind AS 105. Further, following information is available about B Ltd.:

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on acquisition – ₹ 360

Costs to sell as on acquisition and on reporting date – ₹ 10

Fair value of liabilities on acquisition and reporting date – ₹ 80

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on the reporting date – ₹ 340

How discontinued operation pertaining to B Ltd. should be measured in consolidated financial statements of X Ltd. on acquisition date and reporting date?

Ind AS 24

11. Entity A owns 30% of the share capital of entity B and has the ability to exercise significant influence over it.

Entity B holds the following investments:

- 70% of the share capital of its subsidiary, entity C; and
- 30% of the share capital of entity D, with the ability to exercise significant influence.

Entity A transacts with entities C and D. Should entity A disclose these transactions as related party transactions in its separate financial statements? Also explain the disclosure of such transactions in the financial statements of C and D as related party transaction.

Ind AS 111

12. Identify the type of joint arrangements in each of the following scenarios:
- (i) X Ltd and Y Ltd, manufacturing similar type of mobile phones, form a joint arrangement to manufacture and sell mobile phones. Under the terms of the arrangement, both X Ltd and Y Ltd are to use their own assets to manufacture the mobile phones and both are responsible for liabilities related to their respective manufacture. The arrangement also lays down the distribution revenues from the sale of the mobile phones and expenses incurred thereof. X Ltd however has exclusive control over the marketing and distribution functions and does not require the consent of Y Ltd in this aspect. No separate entity is created for the arrangement.
 - (ii) Continuing with (i) above, what would be the classification of the joint arrangement if X Ltd and Y Ltd both jointly control all the relevant activities of the Joint arrangement including the marketing and the distribution functions?
 - (iii) What would be the classification of the joint arrangement if under the terms of the arrangement, a separate entity is created to manufacture the mobile phones.
 - (iv) Continuing with (iii) above, the joint arrangement is a means of manufacturing mobile phones on a common platform but the output of the joint arrangement is purchased by both X Ltd and Y Ltd in the ratio of 50:50. The joint arrangement cannot sell output to third parties. The price of the output sold to X Ltd and Y Ltd is set by both the parties to the arrangement to cover the production costs and other administrative costs of the joint arrangement entity.
 - (v) Would your answer in (iv) above be different if X Ltd and Y Ltd sold their respective share of output to third parties?

- (vi) Assume that in (iv) above, the contractual terms of the arrangement were modified so that the joint arrangement entity is not obliged to sell the output to X Ltd and Y Ltd but was able to sell the output to third parties.

Ind AS 20 and Ind AS 109

13. A Limited is engaged in the manufacturing of certain specialized chemicals. During the manufacturing process, certain wastewater is produced which is released by A Limited in the nearby river. To reduce pollution of the rivers, the state government has introduced a scheme with the following salient features:

- If a manufacturer installs certain pre-approved wastewater treatment plant, the government will provide an interest free loan equal to 50% of the cost of the plant;
- Such loan will be repayable to the government in 5 years from the date of disbursal;
- The manufacturer availing the benefit of this scheme must treat the wastewater of its factory using the specified plant before releasing it to the river. If this condition is violated, the entire loan shall become immediately repayable to the government along with a penalty of ₹ 10 lakh.

Cost of the wastewater treatment plant to be installed to avail the benefit of the scheme is ₹ 50 lakh. A Limited decided to utilise this scheme because, if it were to obtain the similar loan from a bank, it would be available at a market interest rate of 12% per annum. Accordingly, A Limited applied for and obtained the government loan of ₹ 25 lakh on 1st April, 20X1. A Limited purchased and installed the plant such that it became ready for use on the same date.

A Limited has an accounting policy of recognising government grant in relation to depreciable assets in the proportion of depreciation expense. It has determined that the plant will be depreciated over a period of 5 years using straight-line method. In the month of March, 20X3, government officials conducted a surprise audit, and it was found that A Limited was not using the wastewater treatment plant as prescribed. Accordingly, on 31st March, 20X3, the government ordered A Limited to repay the entire loan along with penalty. A Limited repaid the loan with interest and penalty as per the order on 31st March, 20X3.

Measure the amount of government grant as on 1st April, 20X1. Determine the nature of the government grant and its accounting treatment (principally) for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Also determine the impact on profit or loss if any, on account of revocation of government grant as on 31st March, 20X3.

Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109

14. ABC Ltd. issues 4% 1,00,000 OCPS at a face value of ₹ 100 per share on 1st April, 20X1 and these are redeemable after 5 years, ie, on 31st March, 20X6. Dividend is non-

cumulative. Each preference shares entitles the holders to 10 equity shares and the preference shares are optionally convertible by the holder at any time until maturity.

How will the preference shares be classified at initial recognition assuming that a comparable instrument carries a market interest rate of 7%? Provide journal entries for year 1. Will this classification be changed subsequently in case there is likelihood that OCPS will be encashed at the end of the maturity period?

Ind AS 101

15. GG Ltd., a listed company, prepares its first Ind AS financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X3. The date of transition is 1st April, 20X1. The functional and presentation currency is Rupee. The financial statements as at and for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 contain an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS. Previously it was using Indian GAAP (AS) as base.

It has already published its first interim results of quarter 1, quarter 2 and quarter 3 of 20X2- 20X3 in accordance with Ind AS 34 and Ind AS 101. The interim financial report included the reconciliations both of total comprehensive income and of equity that are required by Ind AS 101.

Since issuing the interim financial report, its management has concluded that one of accounting policy choices applied at the interim should be changed for the full year.

How should GG Ltd. deal with the change in accounting policy under Ind AS framework?

Ind AS 102

16. New Age Technology Limited has entered into following Share Based payment transactions:
- (i) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th June, 20X1. New Age Technology Limited determined the fair value of the share options to be the value of the equity shares on 1st April, 20X1.
 - (ii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited entered into a contract to purchase IT equipment from Bombay Software Limited and agreed that the contract will be settled by issuing equity instruments of New Age Technology Limited. New Age Technology Limited received the IT equipment on 30th July, 20X1. The share-based payment transaction was measured based on the fair value of the equity instruments as on 1st April, 20X1.
 - (iii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant the share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th June, 20X1.

The issue of the share options was however subject to the same being approved by the shareholders in a general meeting. The scheme was approved in the general meeting held on 30th September, 20X1. The fair value of the equity instruments for measuring the share-based payment transaction was taken on 30th September, 20X1.

Identify the grant date and measurement date in all the 3 cases of Share based payment transactions entered into by New Age Technology Limited, supported by appropriate rationale for the determination?

Ind AS 108

17. XYZ Ltd. has eight segments namely A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H. The information regarding respective segments for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 is as follows:

Segments	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
External sales	0	255	15	10	15	50	25	35
Inter-segment sales	<u>100</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>5</u>	—	—	—	—
Total	<u>100</u>	<u>315</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>35</u>
Segment result Profit/(Loss)	5	(90)	15	(5)	8	(5)	5	7
Segment assets	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9

Identify which of the above segments out of A to H would be considered as reportable segments of XYZ Ltd. for the year ending 31st March, 20X1?

Ind AS 38

18. D Ltd. a leading publishing house, purchased copyright of a book from its author for publishing the same. As per the terms of the contract, if D Ltd. chooses to make the payment upfront then, copyright consideration of ₹ 80,00,000 is to be paid (which is in line with general practice in such arrangements). However, the contract also provided that, in case D Ltd. chooses to pay the consideration after 2 years, then it will be required to pay ₹ 1,00,00,000. At what value should the intangible asset be recognised as per Ind AS 38?

Ind AS 10

19. XYZ Ltd. sells goods to its customer with a promise to give discount of 5% on list price of the goods provided that the payments are received from customer within 15 days. XYZ Ltd. sold goods of ₹ 5 lakhs to ABC Ltd. between 17th March, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X1. ABC Ltd. paid the dues by 15th April, 20X1 with respect to sales made between 17th March, 20X1 and 31st March, 20X1. Financial statements were approved

for issue by Board of Directors on 31st May, 20X1. State whether discount will be adjusted from the sales at the end of the reporting period.

Ind AS 16

20. On 1st January, 20X1 an entity purchased an item of equipment for ₹ 600,000, including ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of ₹ 605,000. In addition, the entity has to pay ₹ 5,000 in loan raising fees to the Bank. The loan is secured against the equipment.

In January 20X1 the entity incurred costs of ₹ 20,000 in transporting the equipment to the entity's site and ₹ 100,000 in installing the equipment at the site. At the end of the equipment's 10-year useful life the entity is required to dismantle the equipment and restore the building housing the equipment. The present value of the cost of dismantling the equipment and restoring the building is estimated to be ₹ 100,000.

In January 20X1 the entity's engineer incurred the following costs in modifying the equipment so that it can produce the products manufactured by the entity:

- Materials – ₹ 55,000
- Labour – ₹ 65,000
- Depreciation of plant and equipment used to perform the modifications – ₹ 15,000

In January 20X1, the entity's production staff were trained in how to operate the new item of equipment. Training costs included:

- Cost of an expert external instructor – ₹ 7,000
- Labour – ₹ 3,000

In February 20X1 the entity's production team tested the equipment and the engineering team made further modifications necessary to get the equipment to function as intended by management. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:

- Materials, net of ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output – ₹ 21,000
- Labour – ₹ 16,000

The equipment was ready for use on 1st March, 20X1. However, because of low initial order levels the entity incurred a loss of ₹ 23,000 on operating the equipment during March. Thereafter the equipment operated profitably.

What is the cost of the equipment at initial recognition?

ANSWERS

1. Before any item can be recognised as an inventory, it should meet the definition of 'asset' as given in the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting under Ind AS, issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India as follows:

"An asset is a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events and economic resource is a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits".

The orders in respect of Buyer Furnished Equipment's (BFEs) are directly placed by the buyer and payment in respect of them is made by the buyer. These are then supplied to the company for installing in the ship and the buyer pays installation charges which are included in the contract price. Thus, the company has neither incurred any cost on BFEs nor any amount is recoverable on account of such equipment except installation charges. Accordingly, such equipment are not 'assets' that may be considered as a part of its contract work-in progress.

In fact, after installation in the ship, BFEs are returned to the buyer after completion of the ship. Thus, these are only held by the company in the capacity of a bailee. Since, it cannot be considered as an 'asset', therefore, it can neither be considered as 'inventory' nor as 'work-in-progress'.

Further, it can also not be considered as a part of sale value or revenue of the company as no consideration would be receivable with respect to the cost of such equipment.

On the basis of the above, it can be concluded that:

- (i) The BFEs cannot be considered as inventories / Work-in-progress for Defense Innovators Limited.
 - (ii) The BFE's cost cannot be considered as part of sales value / contract revenue to Defense Innovators Limited.
2. Inventory and debtors need to be classified in accordance with the requirement of paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, which provides that an asset shall be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle.
- (a) In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 19 months [11 months + 8 months]. Both inventory and the debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in its normal operating cycle.

- (b) No, the answer will be the same as the classification of debtors and inventory depends on the expectation of the entity to realise the same in the normal operating cycle. In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 28 months [15 months + 13 months]. Both inventory and debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in the normal operating cycle.

Additional information as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 will be required to be made by the entity, which provides "Whichever method of presentation is adopted, an entity shall disclose the amount expected to be recovered or settled after more than twelve months for each asset and liability line item that combines amounts expected to be recovered or settled:

- (a) No more than twelve months after the reporting period, and
- (b) More than twelve months after the reporting period."

3. Applicability of Ind AS in general:

- Currently Ind AS is applicable to the following companies except for companies other than banks and Insurance Companies, on mandatory basis:
 - (a) All companies which are listed or in process of listing in or outside India on Stock Exchanges.
 - (b) Unlisted companies having net worth of ₹ 250 crore or more but less than ₹ 500 crore.
 - (c) Holding, Subsidiary, Associate and Joint venture of above.
- Companies listed on SME exchange are not required to apply Ind AS on mandatory basis.
- Once a company starts following Ind AS either voluntarily or mandatorily on the basis of criteria specified, it shall be required to follow Ind AS for all the subsequent financial statements even if any of the criteria specified does not subsequently apply to it.
- Application of Ind AS is for both standalone as well as consolidated financial statements if threshold criteria met or adopted voluntarily.
- Companies meeting the thresholds for the first time at the end of an accounting year shall apply Ind AS from the immediate next accounting year with comparatives.
- Companies not covered by the above roadmap shall continue to apply existing Accounting Standards notified in the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006.

Since the net worth of FVL in immediately preceding year exceeded ₹ 250 crore, Ind AS is applicable to it. The entity VLA and SWL have to be examined as they may fall in criteria (c) above.

Applicability of Ind AS on VLA

Joint arrangement can be either joint operation or joint venture. However, for the purpose of identifying the applicability of Ind AS, the Act defines Joint venture (as an explanation to section 2(6) of the Companies Act, 2013), as follows:

“The expression “joint venture” means a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement”.

Accordingly, if an entity is classified as joint operation and not joint venture, then Ind AS would not be applicable to such entity.

In the case of VLA, if partners conclude that they have rights in the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the partnership firm then this would be a joint operation. However, Ind AS would not be applicable on VLA in such a case since it is the case of joint operation (and not a joint venture).

Alternatively, if partners conclude that they have joint control of the arrangement and have rights to the net assets of the arrangement relating to the partnership firm, then this would be a joint venture. In such a case, Ind AS would be applicable to them.

Applicability of Ind AS on SWL

Social Welfare Limited (SWL) is the associate company of FVL. Accordingly, Ind AS would be applicable on SWL too irrespective of the fact that SWL has been incorporated as a charitable organisation.

4. For the year 20X1-20X2

S Limited accounts for the promised bundle of goods and services as a single performance obligation satisfied over time in accordance with Ind AS 115. At the inception of the contract, S Limited expects the following:

Transaction price	– ₹ 20,00,000
Expected costs	– ₹ 11,00,000
Expected profit (45%)	– ₹ 9,00,000

At contract inception, S Limited excludes the ₹ 2,50,000 bonus from the transaction price because it cannot conclude that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur. Completion of the heavy-duty equipment is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence.

By the end of the first year, the entity has satisfied 65% of its performance obligation on the basis of costs incurred to date. Costs incurred to date are therefore ₹ 7,15,000 and S Limited reassesses the variable consideration and concludes that the amount is still constrained. Therefore at 31st March, 20X2, the following would be recognised:

Revenue	(A)	– ₹ 13,00,000 (₹ 20,00,000 x 65%)
Costs	(B)	– ₹ 7,15,000 (₹ 11,00,000 x 65%)
Gross profit	(C) i.e.(A-B)	– ₹ 5,85,000

For the year 20X2-20X3

On 4th June, 20X2, the contract is modified. As a result, the fixed consideration and expected costs increase by ₹ 1,50,000 and ₹ 80,000, respectively.

The total potential consideration after the modification is ₹ 24,00,000 which is ₹ 21,50,000 fixed consideration + ₹ 2,50,000 completion bonus. In addition, the allowable time for achieving the bonus is extended by six months with the result that S Limited concludes that it is highly probable that including the bonus in the transaction price will not result in a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised in accordance with Ind AS 115. Therefore, the bonus of ₹ 2,50,000 can be included in the transaction price.

S Limited also concludes that the contract remains a single performance obligation. Thus, S Limited accounts for the contract modification as if it were part of the original contract. Therefore, S Limited updates its estimates of costs and revenue as follows:

S Limited has satisfied 60.60% of its performance obligation (₹ 7,15,000 actual costs incurred compared to ₹ 11,80,000 total expected costs). The entity recognises additional revenue of ₹ 1,54,400 [(60.60% of ₹ 24,00,000) – ₹ 13,00,000 revenue recognised to date] at the date of modification i.e. on 4th June, 20X2 as a cumulative catch-up adjustment.

5. Calculation of additional warranty provisions:

Warranty claim covers 1% of gross margin, whereas customers are refunded the full selling price. As the goods are scrapped it is assumed XYZ Ltd has no potential for reimbursement from its supplier regarding the faulty goods.

A calculation of warranty provision is set out below:

1% of annual gross margin is ₹ 30,000 therefore 100% of annual gross margin must be ₹ 30,00,000. Since gross margin is 40%, sales should be ₹ 75,00,000. As provide in the question that the sales are evenly spread during the year and given the six month warranty, half of the sales occurred in the second half of the year is still covered within the warranty period as follows.

	% age	Annual sales	Product under warranty at 31 st March, 20X2	Percentage expected to be returned	Warranty provision
		₹	₹	₹	₹
Gross margin	40%	30,00,000			
Selling price	100%	75,00,000	37,50,000	1%	37,500

The warranty provision should therefore be increased by ₹ 7,500 (₹ 37,500 – ₹ 30,000). As the provision is expected to be used in the next 6 months no discounting is required.

If the warranty period is 2 years:

Since the outstanding period of warranties is 6 months (i.e. less than a year), no discounting is required. However, if a longer warranty period is to be given, the entity will have to take into account the effect of the time value of money. The amount of provision shall be the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the warranty obligation. (Refer Para 45 of Ind AS 37)

The discount rate shall be a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability. The discount rate shall not reflect risks for which future cash flow estimates have been adjusted. (Refer Para 47 of Ind AS 37)

	% age	Annual sales	Product under warranty at 31 st March, 20X2	Percentage expected to be returned	Warranty provision
		₹	₹	₹	₹
Gross margin	40%	30,00,000			
Selling price	100%	75,00,000	75,00,000	1%	75,000

The warranty provision should therefore be increased by ₹ 45,000 (₹ 75,000 – ₹ 30,000). Further discounting of provision would be required.

6. The fair value of the incremental consideration paid by Entity X is calculated as follows:

Number of ordinary shares to be issued to debenture holders under amended terms			
Particulars			
Face value			₹ 1,000
New conversion price		₹ 40 per share	

Number of ordinary shares to be issued to debenture holders under amended terms	1,000 / ₹ 40		25 Shares
Number of ordinary shares to be issued to debenture holders under original terms			
Face value			₹ 1,000
Original conversion price		₹ 50 per share	
Number of ordinary shares to be issued to debenture holders under original terms	1,000 / ₹ 50		20 Shares
Number of additional shares to be issued to debenture holders under amended terms			5 Shares
Value of additional shares upon conversion (to be recognised as loss in P&L)			
5 shares x ₹ 80 per share			₹ 400

7. Paragraph 14 of Ind AS 23, inter-alia, states that to the extent that an entity borrows funds generally and uses them for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity shall determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation by applying a capitalisation rate to the expenditures on that asset. The capitalisation rate shall be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to all borrowings of the entity that are outstanding during the period. However, an entity shall exclude from this calculation borrowing costs applicable to borrowings made specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset until substantially all the activities necessary to prepare that asset for its intended use or sale are complete. The amount of borrowing costs that an entity capitalises during a period shall not exceed the amount of borrowing costs it incurred during that period.

In this context, a question arises whether such expenditure should be based on costs accrued or actual cash outflows. To contrast these two alternatives, presented below is the computation of borrowing costs based on both the alternatives:

Month	Cost of construction Accrued	Average capital expenditure	Cash outflows (paid in advance at the start of each month)	Average capital expenditure
September	1.50	$1.50 \times 7/12 = 0.875$	3.00	$3.00 \times 7/12 = 1.75$
October	0.50	$0.50 \times 6/12 = 0.25$	1.70	$1.70 \times 6/12 = 0.85$
November	1.50	$1.50 \times 5/12 = 0.625$	2.50	$2.50 \times 5/12 = 1.04$
December	0.50	$0.50 \times 4/12 = 0.17$	-	-
January	1.80	$1.80 \times 3/12 = 0.45$	1.00	$1 \times 3/12 = 0.25$

February	0.70	$0.70 \times 2/12 = 0.12$	-	-
March	<u>3.00</u>	$3.00 \times 1/12 = \underline{0.25}$	<u>1.50</u>	$1.50 \times 1/12 = \underline{0.125}$
	<u>9.50</u>	<u>2.74</u>	<u>9.70</u>	<u>4.02</u>

If the average capital expenditure on the basis of costs accrued is taken, the borrowing costs eligible to be capitalised would be ₹ 2.74 crore \times 11% = 0.30 crore. Whereas, if average capital expenditure on the basis of cash flows is taken, the borrowing costs eligible to be capitalised would be ₹ 4.02 crore \times 11% = 0.44 crore. Thus, there is a wide variance in the amount of borrowing cost to be capitalised, based on the accrual basis and on actual cash flows basis. This divergence is often experienced during the implementation of large projects, for example, an advance given to a supplier involves an upfront cash outflow while the actual expenditure accrues in later periods (with the receipt of goods and services).

As per paragraph 18 of Ind AS 23, expenditures on a qualifying asset include only those expenditures that have resulted in payments of cash, transfers of other assets or the assumption of interest-bearing liabilities. Expenditures are reduced by any progress payments received and grants received in connection with the asset (see Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance). The average carrying amount of the asset during a period, including borrowing costs previously capitalised, is normally a reasonable approximation of the expenditures to which the capitalization rate is applied in that period.

Where cash has been paid but the corresponding cost has not yet accrued interest becomes payable on payment of cash. Therefore, the amount so paid should be considered for determining the amount of interest eligible for capitalisation, subject to the fulfillment of other conditions prescribed in paragraph 16 of Ind AS 23. Accordingly, in the present case, interest should be computed on the basis of the cash flows rather than on the basis of costs accrued. Therefore, the amount of interest eligible for capitalisation would be ₹ 0.44 crore.

Another important factor to be noted is that paragraph 14 requires, inter alia, that the amount of borrowing costs that an entity capitalises during a period shall not exceed the amount of borrowing costs it incurred during that period. Thus, the amount of borrowing costs to be capitalised should not exceed the total borrowing costs incurred during the period, that is ₹ 0.5 crore.

8. Paragraph 9, B9, B13 and B14 of Ind AS 116 state the following:

“9 At inception of a contract, an entity shall assess whether the contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for consideration.”

“B9 To assess whether a contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time, an entity shall assess whether, throughout the period of use, the customer has both of the following:

- (a) the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the identified asset; and
- (b) the right to direct the use of the identified asset.”

“B13 An asset is typically identified by being explicitly specified in a contract. However, an asset can also be identified by being implicitly specified at the time that the asset is made available for use by the customer.”

“B14 Even if an asset is specified, a customer does not have the right to use an identified asset if the supplier has the substantive right to substitute the asset throughout the period of use. A supplier’s right to substitute an asset is substantive only if both of the following conditions exist:

- (a) the supplier has the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use (for example, the customer cannot prevent the supplier from substituting the asset and alternative assets are readily available to the supplier or could be sourced by the supplier within a reasonable period of time); and
- (b) the supplier would benefit economically from the exercise of its right to substitute the asset (i.e., the economic benefits associated with substituting the asset are expected to exceed the costs associated with substituting the asset).”

Paragraph B20 of Ind AS 116 which provides guidance regarding identified asset in case of portion of assets states that a capacity portion of an asset is an identified asset if it is physically distinct (for example, a floor of a building). A capacity or other portion of an asset that is not physically distinct (for example, a capacity portion of a fibre optic cable) is not an identified asset, unless it represents substantially all of the capacity of the asset and thereby provides the customer with the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset.

Paragraph B21 of Ind AS 116, inter alia, states that to control the use of an identified asset, a customer is required to have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset throughout the period of use (for example, by having exclusive use of the asset throughout that period). A customer can obtain economic benefits from use of an asset directly or indirectly in many ways, such as by using, holding or subleasing the asset.

Further, paragraph B24 of Ind AS 116 provides that a customer has the right to direct the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use if the customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use.

Paragraph B25 of Ind AS 116 states that a customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used if, within the scope of its right of use defined in the contract, it can change how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use. In making this assessment, an entity considers the decision-making rights that are most relevant to changing how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use. Decision-making rights are relevant when they affect the economic benefits to be derived from use. The decision-making rights that are most relevant are likely to be different for different contracts, depending on the nature of the asset and the terms and conditions of the contract.

Case I

Scenario 1:

- (i) As per paragraph B13 of Ind AS 116, 'Last mile' which is a dedicated cable is an identified asset since it is physically distinct.
- (ii) There are no substantive substitution rights with Entity Y, as it does not have the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use.

Thus, this arrangement is within the scope of Ind AS 116.

Scenario 2:

If Entity Y has the practical ability to replace the lines and it would benefit from such replacement, Entity Y has substantive substitution rights. In such case, this arrangement for the 'last mile cable' will not be within the scope of Ind AS 116.

Case II

The fibers are specified in the contract and are physically distinct. Hence, in accordance with paragraph B13 and B20, the said three fibers are identified asset.

Paragraph B18, inter alia, states that the supplier's right or obligation to substitute the asset for repairs and maintenance, if the asset is not operating properly or if a technical upgrade becomes available does not preclude the customer from having the right to use an identified asset.

Further, paragraph B27 provides that although rights such as those to operate or maintain an asset are often essential to the efficient use of an asset, they are not rights to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used and can actually be dependent on the decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used.

In accordance with the above, as Entity Y can substitute these three distinct fibers only for reasons of repairs, maintenance or malfunction, it does not preclude them from being an identified asset.

Further, the Customer X has right to control the use of the identified fibers for 10 year since it has –

- (a) the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the identified fibers throughout the period of use, i.e., 10 years; and
- (b) the right to direct the use of the fibers as it makes the decisions about the use of the fibers, i.e., it has right to direct how and for what purpose the fibers are used throughout the period of use.

Hence, this arrangement is within the scope of Ind AS 116.

Case III

Paragraph B20 specifically provides that a capacity or other portion of an asset that is not physically distinct (for example, a capacity portion of a fiber optic cable) is not an identified asset, unless it represents substantially all of the capacity of the asset and thereby provides the customer with the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset. In the given case, the capacity portion that will be provided to Customer X is not physically distinct from the remaining capacity of the cable and does not represent substantially all of the capacity of the cable, thus, it is not an identified asset. Further, Entity Y makes all decisions about the transmission of data, (i.e., supplier lights the fibers, makes decisions about which fibers are used to transmit customer's traffic).

Thus, the contract does not contain a lease and is therefore not within the scope of Ind AS 116.

9. The impact on the financial position and results of classifying the payments as remuneration and contingent consideration is tabulated as follows:

	Additional Payment is classified as	
	Remuneration	Contingent consideration
Consideration	900	900
Fair value of additional payment	<u>0</u>	<u>200</u>
Total consideration	900	1,100
Fair value of net assets	<u>(850)</u>	<u>(850)</u>
Goodwill at acquisition date	50	250
Subsequent changes in additional payment	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Goodwill	<u>50</u>	<u>250</u>
Cumulative earnings (before considering additional payment)	1,050	1,050

Impact of additional payment	(500)	(300)
Reported results across three years	<u>550</u>	<u>750</u>

10. Ind AS 105 defines a disposal group as a group of assets to be disposed of, by sale or otherwise, together as a group in a single transaction, and liabilities directly associated with those assets that will be transferred in the transaction. The group includes goodwill acquired in a business combination if the group is a cash-generating unit to which goodwill has been allocated in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs 80–87 of Ind AS 36, Impairment of Assets, or if it is an operation within such a cash-generating unit.

In the given case, B Ltd. is acquired exclusively with a view to sell and meets the criteria to be classified as discontinued operation.

The discontinued operation would be measured in accordance with paragraphs 15 and 16 of Ind AS 105

As per para 15, an entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

As per para 16, if a newly acquired asset (or disposal group) meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale (see paragraph 11), applying paragraph 15 will result in the asset (or disposal group) being measured on initial recognition at the lower of its carrying amount had it not been so classified (for example, cost) and fair value less costs to sell. Hence, if the asset (or disposal group) is acquired as part of a business combination, it shall be measured at fair value less costs to sell.

Therefore, on acquisition date, in line with paragraph 16, X Ltd. will measure B Ltd. as a disposal group at fair value less costs to sell which will be calculated as Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on acquisition – Costs to sell = ₹ 360 – ₹ 10 = ₹ 350.

Fair value of liabilities on acquisition = ₹ 80.

At the reporting date, in line with paragraph 15, X Ltd. will remeasure the disposal group at the lower of its cost and fair value less costs to sell which will be calculated as:

Fair value of total assets excluding liabilities on subsequent reporting date – Costs to sell

$$= ₹ 340 - ₹ 10 = ₹ 330$$

Fair value of liabilities on reporting date = ₹ 80.

At the reporting date, X Ltd. shall present these assets and liabilities separately from other assets and liabilities in its consolidated financial statements.

In the statement of profit and loss, X Ltd. shall recognise loss on subsequent measurement (of net assets at fair value) of B Ltd. which equals to ₹ 20 (₹ 270 – ₹ 250).

11. Entity A should disclose its transactions with entity C in entity A's separate financial statements. Entity C is a related party of entity A, because entity C is the subsidiary of entity A's associate, entity B.

Entity A's management is not required to disclose entity A's transactions with entity D in its financial statements. Entity D is not a related party of entity A, because entity A has no ability to exercise control or significant influence over entity D.

Entity C is required to disclose its transactions with entity A in its financial statements, because entity A is a related party.

Entity D is not required to disclose transactions with entity A, because they are not related parties.

12. For a joint arrangement to be either a *joint operation* or *joint venture*, it depends on whether the parties to the joint arrangement have rights to the assets and obligations for liabilities (will be a joint operation) OR whether the parties to the joint arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement (will be joint venture).

- (i) In order to fit into the definition of a joint arrangement, the parties to the joint arrangement should have joint control over the arrangement. In the given case, decisions relating to relevant activities, ie, marketing and distribution, are solely controlled by X Ltd and such decisions do not require the consent of Y Ltd. Hence, the joint control test is not satisfied in this arrangement and the arrangement does not fit into the definition of a joint arrangement in accordance with the Standard.
- (ii) Where X Ltd and Y Ltd both jointly control all the relevant activities of the arrangement and since no separate entity is formed for the arrangement, the joint arrangement is in the nature of a *joint operation*.
- (iii) Where under a joint arrangement, a separate vehicle is formed to give effect to the joint arrangement, then the joint arrangement can either be a *joint operation* or a *joint venture*.

Hence in the given case, if:

- (a) The contractual terms of the joint arrangement, give both X Ltd and Y Ltd rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement, and the rights to the corresponding revenues and obligations for the corresponding expenses, *then the joint arrangement will be in the nature of a joint operation.*
- (b) The contractual terms of the joint arrangement, give both X Ltd and Y Ltd. rights to the net assets of the arrangement, *then the joint arrangement will be in the nature of a joint venture.*

- (iv) Where the rights to assets and liabilities to obligations are not clear from the contractual arrangement, then other facts and circumstances also need to be considered to determine whether the joint arrangement is a joint operation or a joint venture.

When the provision of the activities of the joint venture is primarily to produce output and the output is available / distributed only to the parties to the joint arrangement in some pre-determined ratio, then this indicates that the parties have substantially all the economic benefits of the assets of the arrangement. The only source of cash flows to the joint arrangement is receipts from parties through their purchases of the output and the parties also have a liability to fund the settlement of liabilities of the separate entity. Such an arrangement indicates that the joint arrangement is in the nature of a *joint operation*.

In the given case, the output of the joint arrangement is exclusively used by X Ltd. and Y Ltd. and the joint arrangement is not allowed to sell the output to outside parties. Hence, the joint arrangement between X Ltd. and Y Ltd. is in the nature of a *joint operation*.

- (v) It makes no difference whether the output of the joint arrangement is exclusively for use by the parties to the joint arrangement or the parties to the arrangement sold their share of the output to third parties.

Hence, even if X Ltd. and Y Ltd. sold their respective share of output to third parties, the fact still remains that the joint arrangement cannot sell output directly to third parties. Hence, the joint arrangement will still be deemed to be in the nature of a *joint operation*.

- (vi) Where the terms of the contractual arrangement enable the separate entity to sell the output to third parties, this would result in the separate entity assuming demand, inventory and credit risks. Such facts and circumstances would indicate that the arrangement is a joint venture.

13. As per the principles of Ind AS 20 “Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance”, the benefits of a government loan at a below market rate of interest is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognized and measured in accordance with Ind AS 109 “Financial Instruments”. The benefit of the below market rate of interest shall be measured as the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109 and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 20. As per Ind AS 109, the loan should be initially measured at its fair value.

Initial recognition of grant as on 1st April, 20X1

Fair value of loan = ₹ 25,00,000 x 0.567 (PVF @ 12%, 5th year) = ₹ 14,17,500

A Limited will recognize ₹ 10,82,500 (25,00,000 – 14,17,500) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Date	Particulars	Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
1.4.20X1	Bank account Dr.	25,00,000	
	To Deferred Grant Income		10,82,500
	To Loan account		14,17,500
	(Being grant initially recorded at fair value)		

Accounting treatment for year ending 31st March, 20X2

As per para 3 of Ind AS 20, grants related to assets are government grants whose primary condition is that an entity qualifying for them should purchase, construct or otherwise acquire long-term assets.

As per para 24-27 of Ind AS 20, Government grants related to assets, including non-monetary grants at fair value, shall be presented in the balance sheet either by setting up the grant as deferred income or by deducting the grant in arriving at the carrying amount of the asset.

One method recognises the grant as deferred income that is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset.

The other method deducts the grant in calculating the carrying amount of the asset. The grant is recognised in profit or loss over the life of a depreciable asset as a reduced depreciation expense.

A Ltd. has adopted first method of recognising the grant as deferred income that is recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset. Here, deferred income is recognised in profit or loss in the proportion in which depreciation expense on the asset is recognised.

Depreciation for the year (20X1-20X2) = ₹ 50,00,000 / 5 years = ₹ 10,00,000

As the loan is to finance a depreciable asset, ₹ 10,82,500 will be recognized in Profit or Loss on the same basis as depreciation.

Since the depreciation is provided on straight line basis by A Limited, it will credit ₹ 2,16,500 (10,82,500 / 5) equally to its statement of profit and loss over the 5 years.

Journal Entries

Date	Particulars	Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
31.3.20X2	Depreciation (Profit or Loss A/c) Dr. To Property, Plant & Equipment (Being depreciation provided for the year)	10,00,000	10,00,000
	Deferred grant income Dr. To Profit or Loss (Being deferred income adjusted)	2,16,500	2,16,500

Impact on profit or loss due to revocation of government grant as on 31st March 20X3

As per para 32 of Ind AS 20, a government grant that becomes repayable shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. Repayment of a grant related to income shall be applied first against any unamortised deferred credit recognised in respect of the grant. To the extent that the repayment exceeds any such deferred credit, or when no deferred credit exists, the repayment shall be recognised immediately in profit or loss.

Amount payable to Government on account of principal loan = ₹ 25,00,000

Amount payable to Government on account of penalty = ₹ 10,00,000

Journal Entries

Date	Particulars	Dr. (₹)	Cr. (₹)
31.3.20X3	Deferred grant income Dr. To Profit or Loss (Being deferred income adjusted)	2,16,500	2,16,500
	Loan account (W.N.1) Dr.	17,78,112	
	Deferred grant income (W.N.2) Dr.	6,49,500	
	Profit or Loss Dr. To Government grant payable (Being refund of government grant)	72,388	25,00,000
	Profit or Loss Dr. To Government grant payable (Being penalty payable to government)	10,00,000	10,00,000

Therefore, total impact on profit or loss on account of revocation of government grant as on 31st March, 20X3 will be ₹ 10,72,388 (10,00,000 + 72,388).

Circumstances giving rise to repayment of a grant related to an asset may require consideration to be given to the possible impairment of the new carrying amount of the asset.

Working Notes:

1. Amortisation Schedule of Loan

Year	Opening balance of Loan	Interest @ 12%	Closing balance of Loan
31.03.20X2	14,17,500	1,70,100	15,87,600
31.03.20X3	15,87,600	1,90,512	17,78,112

2. Deferred Grant Income

Year	Opening balance	Adjustment	Closing balance
31.03.20X2	10,82,500	2,16,500	8,66,000
31.03.20X3	8,66,000	2,16,500	6,49,500

14. The OCPS is redeemable at the end of the 5th year. Hence, the preference share contains a liability component. Further the dividend payable on the preference shares is non-cumulative. The holder may also be able to convert the preference shares at his option any time until maturity.

Paragraph AG 37 of Ind AS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation* states that non-cumulative dividends paid at the discretion of the issuer entity is part of equity element.

Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation*, requires separate recognition of components of a financial instrument that (a) creates a financial liability of the entity; and (b) grants an option to the holder of the instrument to convert it into fixed number of equity instruments of the entity.

From the above paragraphs it is clear that OCPS issued by ABC Ltd. has a financial liability component as well as an equity component, making it a compound financial instrument.

As per paragraph 32, in case of compound financial instruments, the issuer first determines the carrying amount of the financial liability component by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated equity component. The carrying amount of the equity represented by (a) non-cumulative dividend feature and (b) option to convert the preference shares for fixed number of pre-determined ordinary

shares is then determined by deducting the fair value of the financial liability component from the fair value of the compound financial instrument as a whole.

Measurement and recognition (Calculations have been done at full scale):

At 7% market rate of interest, the fair value of the financial liability component of the OCPS is ₹ 71,29,862 $[100,000 \text{ OCPS} \times ₹ 100 \times (1 / (1+7\%))^5]$

The fair value of the equity component is (residual value) ₹ 28,70,138 $[₹ 1,00,00,000 - ₹ 71,29,862]$

Journal Entries

1 st April, 20X1	On Initial recognition		
	Bank Dr. 1,00,00,000		
	To OCPS (Financial liability)		71,29,862
	To OCPS (Equity)		28,70,138
	(Being OCPS issued and recognised)		
31 st March, 20X2	<u>Interest expense – unwinding of discount</u>		
	Interest expense@7% (Refer W.N.) Dr. 4,99,090		
	To OCPS (Financial liability)		4,99,090
	(Being interest recorded as per EIR)		
	Interest entry will be passed every year till conversion option is not exercised		
	Whenever the option is exercised by the holder to convert to equity shares		
	OCPS (Financial liability) Dr. Balance on date of exercise of the option		
	To OCPS (Equity)		

As per paragraph 30, in case of a convertible financial instrument, the classification of the liability and equity components is not revised as a result of change in the likelihood that a conversion option will be exercised.

In other words, the amount attributable to equity component on initial recognition shall remain in equity and will not be reclassified even if the OCPS are ultimately redeemed in cash by the issuer.

31 st March, 20X6	If redeemed in cash on maturity		
	OCPS (financial liability) (Refer W.N.) Dr.	1,00,00,000	
	To Bank		1,00,00,000
	(Being OCPS redeemed on maturity)		

Working Note:

Calculation of the amortised cost of the financial liability (at full scale):

Year	Opening Balance (₹)	Interest @ 7%	Repayment	Closing Balance (₹)
1	71,29,862	4,99,090	-	76,28,952
2	76,28,952	5,34,027		81,62,979
3	81,62,979	5,71,409		87,34,388
4	87,34,388	6,11,407		93,45,795
5	93,45,795	6,54,206	10,00,000	-

15. The first annual Ind AS financial statements are prepared in accordance with the specific requirements of Ind AS 101. Subject to certain specified exemptions and exceptions, paragraph 7 of Ind AS 101 requires the entity to use the same accounting policies in its opening Ind AS balance sheet and throughout all periods presented. This override Ind AS 8's requirements for disclosures about changes in accounting policies do not apply in an entity's first Ind AS financial statements.

GG Ltd. should include an explanation of the change in policy that it has made since the interim financial report, in the notes to the annual financial statements, in accordance with paragraph 27A of Ind AS 101. The disclosure note is likely to include information, similar to what Ind AS 8 would otherwise require, to help users of the financial statements to understand the changes that have been made. The entity should also ensure that the reconciliations of total comprehensive income and of equity, presented in the first Ind AS financial statements in accordance with paragraph 24 of Ind AS 101 are updated from those included in the interim financial report to reflect the amended accounting policy

16. Ind AS 102 defines grant date and measurement dates as follows:

- (a) **Grant date:** The date at which the entity and another party (including an employee) agree to a share-based payment arrangement, being when the entity and the counterparty have a shared understanding of the terms and conditions of the arrangement. At grant date the entity confers on the counterparty the right to cash, other assets, or equity instruments of the entity, provided the specified vesting conditions, if any, are met. If that agreement is subject to an approval process (for example, by shareholders), grant date is the date when that approval is obtained.
- (b) **Measurement date:** The date at which the fair value of the equity instruments granted is measured for the purposes of this Ind AS. For transactions with employees and others providing similar services, the measurement date is grant date. For transactions with parties other than employees (and those providing similar services), the measurement date is the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders service.

Applying the above definitions in the given scenarios following would be the conclusion based on the assumption that the approvals have been received prospectively:

Scenario	Grant date	Measurement date	Base for grant date	Base for measurement date
(i)	30 th June, 20X1	30 th June, 20X1	The date on which the scheme was approved by the employees	For employees, the measurement date is grant date
(ii)	1 st April, 20X1	30 th July, 20X1	The date when the entity and the counterparty entered a contract and agreed for settlement by equity instruments	The date when the entity obtains the goods from the counterparty
(iii)	30 th September, 20X1	30 th September, 20X1	The date when the approval by shareholders was obtained	For employees, the measurement date is grant date

17. An entity has eight segments and the relevant information is as follows:

Criterion 1: Segment revenue is 10% or more of total external + intersegment sales

Segments	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Total
Total sales	100	315	45	15	15	50	25	35	600
% to total sales	16.7	52.5	7.5	2.5	2.5	8.3	4.2	5.8	
Reportable segments	A	B	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Criteria 2: 10% or more of segment result

Consider segment profit and loss separately in absolute terms

Segments	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Total
Profit	5	-	15	-	8	-	5	7	40
Segments loss	-	90	-	5	-	5	-	-	100

Since segment loss is greater, we select 100 as evaluating the segment percentage

Segments	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Total
% to segment loss	5	90	15	5	8	5	5	7	
Reportable segments	-	B	C	-	-	-	-	-	

Criteria 2: 10% or more of segment assets

Segments	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Total
Assets	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9	100
%	15	47	5	11	3	5	5	9	100
Reportable segments	A	B	-	D	-	-	-	-	

Based on the above 3 criteria, the Reportable Segments are A, B, C & D

However, 75% test for external sales should also be checked.

Reportable Segments	A	B	C	D	TOTAL
External sales	0	255	15	10	280
Total entity's sales (external)					405
% of reportable segments external sales to entity's sales					69.14%
Required percentage					75%

Hence, in the above scenario, additional operating segments need to be identified as reportable segments, till the 75% test is satisfied, even if those segments do not satisfy the quantitative threshold limits.

18. As per paragraph 32 of Ind AS 38, “If payment for an intangible asset is deferred beyond normal credit terms, its cost is the cash price equivalent. The difference between this amount and the total payments is recognized as interest expense over the period of credit unless it is capitalized in accordance with Ind AS 23, Borrowing Costs.”

In the given case, if the payment for an intangible asset i.e. copyright is deferred beyond normal credit terms, the cash price equivalent ₹ 80,00,000 should be considered as its cost and the intangible asset will be recorded initially at this value.

The difference of ₹ 20,00,000 between cash price equivalent (i.e. ₹ 80,00,000) and the total payment (i.e. ₹ 1,00,00,000) should be recognised as interest expense over the period of credit (i.e. 2 years in this case), unless it is eligible for capitalisation in accordance with Ind AS 23, Borrowing Costs.

19. As per Ind AS 115, if the consideration promised in a contract includes a variable amount, an entity shall estimate the amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services to a customer.

In the instant case, the condition that sales have been made exists at the end of the reporting period and the receipt of payment within 15 days' time after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements confirms that the discount is to be provided on those sales. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

Accordingly, XYZ Ltd. should adjust the sales made to ABC Ltd. With respect to discount of 5% on the list price of the goods.

20.

Description	Calculation or reason	₹
Purchase price	₹ 600,000 purchase price minus ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes	550,000
Loan raising fee	Offset against the measurement of the liability	-
Transport cost	Directly attributable expenditure	20,000
Installation costs	Directly attributable expenditure	100,000
Environmental restoration costs	The obligation to dismantle and restore the environment arose from the installation of the equipment	100,000

Preparation costs	₹ 55,000 materials + ₹ 65,000 labour + ₹ 15,000 depreciation	135,000
Training costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account. The equipment was capable of operating in the manner intended by management without incurring the training costs.	-
Cost of testing	₹ 21,000 materials (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output) + ₹ 16,000 labour	37,000
Operating loss	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-
Borrowing costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-
Cost of equipment		9,42,000